0000069606

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2004 MAR -5 P L: 18

AZ CORP COMMISSION

DOCUMENT GONTROL

2

MARC SPITZER

MIKE GLEASON

KRISTIN MAYES

Chairman

WILLIAM MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER
Commissioner

Commissioner

Commissioner

Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST

CORPORATION'S FILING AMENDED

RENEWED PRICE REGULATION PLAN

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

25

24

26

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR - 5 2004

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-03-0454

MOTION TO REVISE PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR AND NOTICE OF FILING UPDATED PRODUCTIVITY ANALYSIS AND AFFIDAVIT OF PHILIP E. GRATE

Pursuant to Decision No. 63487 and Qwest's Price Cap Plan, Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") hereby requests that the productivity factor used in the calculation of the Basket One Price Cap Index under the Price Cap Plan be amended to reflect the information contained in the attached Original Affidavit of Philip E. Grate, designated as Exhibit 1, in the above referenced matter. Supporting Schedules are designated as attachments A through C to Exhibit 1. Attachment A illustrates the 1995 to 1998 productivity analysis that was used to arrive at the productivity adjustment factor for the current Price Cap Plan. Attachment B shows the same calculation performed with the most recent financial information available, covering the years 1999 to 2002. Attachment C contains historic data from 1988 to 1998.

Significant changes have occurred in the telecommunications industry and in Qwest's operations since the productivity factor was set in 2001. That factor was based on productivity achievements from 1995 through 1998. Thus, the productivity factor

proposed to be used to adjust rates in April 2004 is based on data over six years old. Exhibit 1 shows that a revised productivity factor for 2004, calculated in the same manner as the current productivity factor, would be -1.2% without any negotiated consumer dividend. This data demonstrates that the presumptive productivity adjustment factor established for the initial term of the Price Cap Plan is far out of line with the economic reality three years later. The current productivity calculations attached to Grate's Affidavit were provided to the Staff in July of 2003 and no one has challenged those calculations. Without waiving its right to appeal the terms of Decision No. 66772 or any subsequent order clarifying the interim status of the Price Cap Plan, Qwest requests that the productivity factor be updated as calculated in Grate Affidavit Attachment B. The productivity adjustment factor should be reduced to zero.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 71 day of March, 2004.

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

By: \

Timothy Berg

Theresa Dwyer

3003 North Central Ave., Suite 2600

Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

(602) 916-5421

(602) 916-5999 (fax)

-and-

Norman Curtright QWEST LAW DEPARTMENT 4041 North Central Avenue, 11th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (602) 630-2187 Attorneys for Owest Corporation

2324

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

1	ORIGINAL and 13 copies hand-delivered for
2	filing this 5+4 day of March, 2004 to:
3	Docket Control ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
4	1200 W. Washington St.
5	Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	COPY of the foregoing delivered
7	this 514 day of March, 2004 to:
8	Jane Rodda
9	Administrative Law Judge ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
10	1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007
11	Filoellix, AZ 63007
12	Maureen A. Scott Legal Division
13	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
14	1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
16	Utilities Division
17	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 W. Washington St.
18	Phoenix, AZ 85007
19	COPY of the foregoing mailed
20	this <u>&</u> day of March, 2004 to:
21	Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel
22	Daniel W. Pozefsky, Esq. RUCO
23	1110 West Washington, Suite 220
24	Phoenix, AZ 85007
25	
26	

1	Michael W. Patten
2	Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC
2	One Arizona Center
3	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Ï	Mark A. DiNunzio
5	Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC
6	20401 North 29 th Avenue
U	Phoenix, Arizona 85027
7	1 Hoomx, 1 Hizona et ez
8	Thomas H. Campbell
o	Michael T. Hallam
9	Lewis and Roca
10	40 N. Central Avenue
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
11	
12	Thomas F. Dixon
12	WorldCom, Inc.
13	707 17 th Street, 39 th Floor
14	Denver, Colorado 80202
17	Richard S. Wolters
15	AT&T
16	1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575
	Denver, CO 80202-1847
17	
18	Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.
10	Regulatory Law Office
19	U.S. Army Litigation Center
20	901 N. Stuart Street, Suite 713
	Arlington, VA 22203-1837
21	Dishard Loo
22	Richard Lee Snavely King Majoros O'Connor & Lee
	1000 I Church N.W. Suite 410
23	Washington, DC 20005
24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
25)
26	5

Patrick A. Clisham
AT&T Arizona State Director
320 E. Broadmoor Court
Phoenix, AZ 85022

6 PHX/1519329

- -

EXHIBIT 1

AFFIDAVIT OF PHILIP E. GRATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
	22 (
County of King)

Philip E. Grate, being first duly sworn upon his oath deposes and says:

- I am a State Finance Director of Qwest Corporation ("Qwest" or "the Company")
 and make this affidavit based upon my personal knowledge.
- Qwest is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Qwest Services Corporation, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Qwest Communications International, Inc. I have prepared this affidavit to set forth a showing of Qwest's financial results in Arizona.
- 3. At page 5 of Decision No. 63487 in Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105, regarding adoption of a Settlement Agreement between Qwest and Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) Staff to adopt a Price Cap Plan, the Commission explained:

The Price Cap Plan provides that Basket 1 Services will be capped and subject to an "Inflation minus Productivity" indexing mechanism. Thus, when productivity exceeds inflation, rates will decrease. The Productivity Factor for the initial term of the plan is 4.2 percent, which includes a 0.5 percent consumer dividend.

4. In Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105 Qwest provided 1988 to 1998 MR intrastate data in response to Staff data request SPR 3-001. The data Qwest provided can be found in Attachment C to this affidavit. It showed an annual average productivity during the period 1989 to 1998 of 0.8 percent. Staff performed an analysis of the data Qwest provided. Staff proposed

¹ Harry M. Shooshan III, Rebuttal Tesumony Regarding Settlement Agreement, Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105, page 10, line 26 to page 11, line 1.

a 4.2 percent productivity factor based on Qwest's historic Arizona productivity during a four-year period from 1995 to 1998.² The 4.2 percent annual productivity factor was incorporated into the Settlement Agreement as a compromise to the respective positions of the parties, and included 0.5 percent consumer dividend.³ The calculation of the 4.2 percent annual productivity factor based on Qwest's 1995 to 1998 MR intrastate data, plus a 0.5 percent consumer dividend, can be found in Attachment A to this affidavit.

- 5. A calculation of Qwest's annual productivity from 1999 through 2002 can be found in Attachment B. The calculation yields an average annual productivity factor, excluding any consumer dividend, of negative 1.2 percent. The algorithms and data sources used in Attachment B are the same as in Attachment A except that the data used in Attachment A is for the four-year period 1995 through 1998 while the data used in Attachment B is for the four-year period 1999 through 2002. Arizona MR intrastate data for 2003 is not yet available.
- 6. The annual financial data used in Attachment B reflect the effect of the restatement of Qwest's financial statements for the years 2000, 2001 and 2002. The data and algorithms in Attachment B are the same as the data and algorithms in the revised Exhibit B (filed with the ACC January 9, 2004) to Qwest's Notice of Renewed Price Regulation Plan filed with the ACC July 1, 2003.

² Decision No. 63487, page 10, lines 9 and 10.

³ Settlement Agreement, pages 5 and 6.

Affiant has read the foregoing Affidavit, knows the contents thereof, and states that the foregoing is true according to his best knowledge, information and belief.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2004

Philip E. Grate

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before me this 4 baday of March, 2004

Notaty F

My Commission Expires

EXHBIT A

Arizona Productivity Analysis - 1995 to 1998 MR Intrastate (\$000's)

Affidavit of Philip E. Grate.xls Attachment A

				<u>e</u>									N N	7 C
26 27 28		23 24 25	20C 21 22	OUTPUTS 18 R 19 20A	16 17	1646	3 = 5	ς ω	8 7 0	יט	ω 4	2 -	INPUTS	No.
Inflation Data (GDPPI) Growth Indexed (1988=100)		Growth in Outputs (Revenues) Growth in Inputs (Expenses) Productivity	Intentionally Blank Intentionally Blank TOTAL ADJUSTED REVENUES YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	EVENUE	TOTAL EXPENSE YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	Auth Rate of Return TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	Deflated Additions (1988 \$) Plant in Service Without Inflation	CAPITAL - Intrastate Investment Average Gross Plant In Service Year aver Year Additions	DEFLATED EXPENSE (1988 \$) YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	Corporate Operations	Engineering/Network/Access/Omer Customer Operations	Intrastate Expenses Maintenance		Item
Bureau of Economic Analysis (L26 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 L28 Prev. Yr.*(1+L27)		L22 L17 L23-L24	L19+L20	1990's Report	L7+L14 (L16 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	Input L12*L13 (L14 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	L10/L28*100 L11+Prev. Yr. L12	1990's Report	L6/L28*100 (L7 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	1990's Report	1990's Report	1990's Report		Source
105.2 121.76			798,270	813,423 -15,153	675,828	9.75% 257,398	2,639,976	2,748,270	418,431	120,992 509,481	140,269	179,310 68 910		1994
108 2.66% 125.00		6.9% 2.5% 4.4%	853,341 6.9%	884,920 -31,579	692,541 2.5%	9.75% 268,927 4.5%	118,251 2,758,228	2,896,085 147,815	423,614 1.2%	529,521	153,528	187,77 4 71,773		1995
110.3 2.13% 127.66	AVERAGE PRODUCTIVICATIONSUMER DIVIDEND TOTAL PRODUCTIVITY	4.1% 4.5%	927,080 8.6%	961,388 -34,308	720,885 4.1%	9.75% 282,614 5.1%	140,380 2,898,609	3,075,298 179,213	438,271 3.5%	559,509	161,304	205,041 65,179		1996
112.8 2.27% 130.56	AVERAGE PRODUCTIVITY 1995-1998 CONSUMER DIVIDEND TOTAL PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	5.4% 1.1% 4.3%	977,031 5.4%	1,017,826 -40,795	728,999 1.1%	9./5% 295,633 4.6%	133,527 3,032,137	3,249,626 174,328	433,366 -1.1%	565,787	172,445	200,848 48,964		1997
113.9 0.98% 131.83	3.7% 0.5% 4.2%	3.5% 1.9% 1.6%	1,011,120 3.5%	1,072,695 -61,575	742,932 1.9%	3.73% 303,203 2.6%	77,641 3,109,778	3,351,980 102,354	439,729 1.5%	579,692	164,816 155,888	206,061 52,927		1998*

Notes: 1998 based on September 1998 YTD results annualized.
Rate Adjustments as provided in response to data request SPI 3-001 in Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105.

EXHBIT B

Affidavit of Philip E. Grate.xls Attachment B

26 27 28	23 24 25	20A 20B 20C 21 22	OUTPUTS 18 F	15 16 17	13215°	876	1 1 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	(\$000's) Line No.
Inflation Data (Chain Weighted GDPPI) Growth Indexed (1998=100)	Growth in Outputs (Revenues) Growth in Inputs (Expenses) Productivity	Surcharge 2001 Rate Increase 2002 Rate Decrease TOTAL ADJUSTED REVENUES YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	EVENUE	TOTAL EXPENSE YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	Year over Year Additions Deflated Additions (1998 \$) Plant in Service Without Inflation Auth Rate of Return CAPITAL EXPENSE	TOTAL EXPENSE DEFLATED EXPENSE (1998 \$) YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH CAPITAL - Intrastate Investment Average Gross Plant In Service	Intrastate Expenses Maintenance Engineering/Network/Access/Other Customer Operations Corporate Operations	Item
Bureau of Economic Analysis (L26 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 L28 Prev. Yr.*(1+L27)	L22 L17 L23-L24	Notes Notes L19+L20	1990's Report Notes	(L14 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	L10/L28*100 L11+Prev. Yr. L12 Input L12*L13 L12*L13	L6/L28*100 (L7 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 1990's Report	1990's Report 1990's Report 1990's Report 1990's Report	Source
sis 103.2 100.00		1,069,631	1,088,033 -18,402	920,069	3,360,035 9.75% 327,603	3,360,035	215,125 51,807 167,342 158,192 592,466 592,466	1998
104.69 1.44% 101.44	2.3% 5.1%	1,149,033 7.4% 7.4%	1,163,288 -14,255	941,322 2.3%	3,517,778 3,517,778 9,75% 342,983 4,7%	1.0% 3,520,055 160,020	228,263 68,020 175,807 134,887 606,977 598,338	1999
106.89 2.10% 103.58	12.5% -7.2% AVERAGE PRODUCTIV CONSUMER DIVIDEND TOTAL PRODUCTIVITY	1,210,058 5.3% 5.3%	1,210,058	1,059,391 12.5%	3,862,131 9,75% 376,558 9,8%	14.176 3,876,721 356,666 344,353	280,065 82,190 171,174 173,799 707,248 682,833	2000
109.42 2.37% 106.03	12.5% -7.2% AVERAGE PRODUCTIVITY 1999-2002 CONSUMER DIVIDEND TOTAL PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	1,206,446 -0.3% -0.3%	1,223,921 -17,475	1,021,091 -3.6%	4,266,957 9.61% 410,055 8.9%	4,305,946 429,225 404,826	70,715 169,831 147,192 647,864 611,036	260.126
110.66 1.13% 107.23		1,104,898 -8.4% -8.4% -2.6%	1,115,427 -21,329 10,800	-2.6%	4,353,720 9,61% 441,428 7.7%	4,656,015 350,069 326,470	72,580 180,074 127,136 592,936 552,964 -9.5%	2002

Notes: Surcharge per Docket E-1051-93-183 Decision No. 60381 2001 removes 9 months of the \$23.3M Rate Increase from Decision No. 63487 Docket No. T-01051B-00-0369 2002 removes 12 months of \$23.3M Rate Increase adjusted for negative growth plus 9 months of \$14.6M Decrease from 2002 Price Cap Rate Filing.

EXHBIT C

Affidavit of Philip E. Grate.xls Attachment C Page 1 of 2

*1998 based on September 1998 YTD results annualized Inflation Data (GDPPI) Growth Indexed (1988=100)	18 REVENUES 19 Operating Revenues 20 Rate Adjustments 21 TOTAL ADJUSTED REVENUES 22 YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH 23 Growth in Outputs (Revenues) 24 Growth in Inputs (Expenses) 25 Productivity	INPUTS INPUTS Intrastate Expenses 1 Intrastate Expenses 4 Customer Operations 5 TOTAL EXPENSE 10 Period Additions 11 Pear over Year Additions 12 Auth Rate of Return 13 TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE 14 TOTAL EXPENSE 15 TOTAL EXPENSE 16 TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE 17 Pear OVER YEAR GROWTH 18 CAPITAL Average Gross Plant in Service 19 Pent in Service Without Inflation 10 Plant in Service Without Inflation 11 Pear OVER YEAR GROWTH 15 TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE 16 TOTAL EXPENSE 17 TOTAL EXPENSE 18 TOTAL EXPENSE
Bureau of Economic Analysis (L26 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 L28 Prev. Yr.*(1+L27)	1990's Report Input L19+L20 L17 L17 L23-L24	Source 1990's Report 1990's Report 1990's Report 1990's Report 1990's Report 1990's Report L6/L28*100 (L7 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 1990's Report L9 Curr. Yr Prev. Yr. L10/L28*100 L11+Prev. Yr. L12 Input L12*L13 (L14 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 L7+L14 (L16 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1
is 86.4 100	673,757 4,260 678,017	1988 138,385 39,510 93,974 85,645 357,514 357,514 1,842,444 9,75% 179,638 597,152
90 4.17% 104.17	670,789 30,108 700,897 3.4% 5.8% -2.5%	1989 140,901 53,348 103,582 97,555 395,386 379,571 6.2% 1,941,350 98,906 94,950 1,937,394 9,75% 18,896 5.2% 568,466 5.8%
93.8 4.22% 108.56	669,591 37,300 706,891 0.9% 0.9% -0.8%	1990 143,255 57,164 98,790 91,983 391,192 360,330 -5.1% 2,104,562 163,212 150,336 2,087,730 9.75% 203,554 7.8% 563,884 -0.8%
97.4 3.84% 112.73	698,835 4,666 703,501 -0.5% -0.5% 5.9%	1991 141,875 56,224 97,066 126,804 421,969 374,313 3.9% 2,326,946 222,384 197,269 2,284,999 9,75% 222,787 9.4% 597,101 5.9%
100 2.67% 115.74	742,749 -26,500 716,249 1.8% 1.8% 4.6%	1992 156,370 60,702 120,156 113,849 451,077 389,731 4.1% 2,472,103 145,157 125,416 2,410,414 9,75% 235,015 5.5% 624,746 4.6%
102.7 2.70% 118.87	758,234 -14,125 744,109 3.9% 3.9% 3.4% 0.5%	1993 165,577 64,212 128,013 117,402 475,204 399,782 2,609,547 137,444 115,630 2,526,044 9,75% 246,289 4,8% 646,071 3,4%

Affidavit of Philip E. Grate.xls Attachment C Page 2 of 2

Inflation Growth Indexed	*1998 b:	23 25	18 19 20 21 22	OUTPUTS	16 17	14 15	ಪ ಸ	1	, ω	œ -	7 6	. C 1	4	ωN	INPO IS	Line No.
Inflation Data (GDPPI) Growth Indexed (1988=100)	*1998 based on September 1998 YTD results annualized	Growth in Outputs (Revenues) Growth in Inputs (Expenses) Productivity	REVENUES Operating Revenues Rate Adjustments TOTAL ADJUSTED REVENUES YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	JTS	TOTAL EXPENSE YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSE YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	Plant in Service Without Inflation Auth Rate of Return	Deflated Additions (1988 \$)	CAPITAL - IIII astate investinent Average Gross Plant In Service Year over Year Additions	YEAR OVER YEAR GROWTH	OFFI ATED EXPENSE (1988 \$)	Corporate Operations	Customer Operations	Maintenance Engineering/Network/Access/Other	Intrastate E	kem
Bureau of Economic Analysis (L26 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1 L28 Prev. Yr.*(1+L27)		L22 L17 L23-L24	1990's Report Input L19+L20		L7+L14 (L16 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	L12*L13 (L14 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	L11+Prev. Yr. L12 Input	L10/L28*100	1990's Report	(L7 Curr. Yr./Prev. Yr.)-1	L6/L28*100	1990's Report	1990's Report	1990's Report		Source
105.2 2.43% 121.76		7.3% 4.6% 2.7%	813,423 -15,153 798,270 7.3%		675,831 4.6%	257,398 4.5%	2,639,976 9.75%	113,932	2,748,270 138,723	4.7%	418,433	509 481	140,269	68,910	179 310	1994
108 2.66% 125.00	П	6.9% 2.5% 4.4%	884,920 -31,579 853,341 6.9%		692,544 2.5%	268,927 4.5%	2,758,220 9.75%	118,252	2,896,085 147,815	1.2%	423,617	529.521	153,528	71,773	187 774	1995
110.3 2.13% 127.66	AVERAGE PROD	8.6% 4.1% 4.5%	961,388 -34,308 927,080 8.6%		720,888 4.1%	282,614 5.1%	9.75%	140,381	3,075,298 179,213	3.5%	438,274	559,509	161,304 127 985	65,179	205.041	1996
112.8 2.27% 130.56	RAGE PRODUCTIVITY 1989-1998	5.4% 1.1% 4.3%	1,017,826 -40,795 977,031 5.4%		729,002 1.1%	4.6%	9.75%	133,528 3 032 137	3,249,626 174,328	-1.1%	433,369	565,787	1/2,445	48,964	200,848	1997
113.9 0.98% 131.83	98 0.8%	3.5% 1.9% 1.6%	1,072,695 -61,575 1,011,120 3.5%		742,935 1.9%	2.6%	9.75%	77,642 3 109 778	3,351,980 102,354	1.5%	439,731	579,692	155,888	52,927	206,061	1998*